



**STONEHAVEN & DISTRICT COMMUNITY COUNCIL  
BUSINESS MEETING MINUTES**

7 – 9 pm, 12<sup>th</sup> April 2022  
Via Teams

<b>Present</b>
<p><b>Community Council Members:</b> Courthouse, Raymond Christie (RC), Paddy Coffield (PC), Jim Stephen (JS), Ian Hunter (IH), David Lawman, <i>Treasurer</i> (DL), Fiona Tavendale (FT), Jim Morrison (JM) Via Teams, Alistair Lawrie <i>Secretary</i> (AL), Steve McQueen (SMcQ), Keith Simpson, <i>Planning Secretary</i> (KS), Daniel Veltman (DV) and Bill Watson, <i>Chair</i> (BW),</p> <p><b>Aberdeenshire Council Elected Members:</b> Councilor Wendy Agnew (Cllr A), Councilor Sarah Dickinson (Cllr D)</p>
<b>In Attendance</b>
Marc Camus (Police Scotland)

1	Chairperson’s Welcome – BW	Action
	BW opened the meeting, welcoming members of the community council, meeting attendees and the public.	
2	<b>Apologies</b>	
	<p><b>Community councillors:</b> Dawn Black, Mike Duncan, Lyndsey Wood, Gerry Towler</p> <p><b>Elected Members:</b> Dennis Robertson</p>	
3	<b>Declarations of Interest</b>	
	None	
4	<b>Police Report</b>	
	PC Marc Camus delivered his report and questions were invited for which there were none	

<b>5</b>	<b>Delegated Planning Matters</b>	
	<p>Dr Paul Davison gave an update on proposed changes to the planning scheme of delegation and sought the backing of SDCC to be represented at the full Council. Dr Davison explained that the default position is that while major applications are considered by Area Committee, the decisions on the conditions are delegated to Officers (without consideration by the Area Committee).</p> <p>Following on from Dr Davison's presentation IH stated that this appeared to be a poor deal and we should be behind what Paul is proposing. AL stated that we should seek to try and ensure appropriate scrutiny by the Area Committee. It was proposed that SDCC canvas their local Councilors on this matter.</p> <p><b>Proposed IH and seconded by AL</b></p>	<b>AL</b>
<b>6.0</b>	<b>Traffic Management Survey – PC &amp; IH</b>	



	<p>Despite there being significant concerns from SDCC about day care of the elderly provision within Stonehaven, ASC had written to SDCC to advise that the Invercarron site was to be converted to a vaccination centre - DL called for a meeting to bring together user groups and stakeholders to discuss what can be done to replace what has been lost. AL added that he felt it was not just about replacement but also to ensure that services are enhanced and there are processes in place for facilities for the next 10/20 years.</p> <p>Cllr A made it clear that she was still fighting the decision</p> <p>RC stated that there needs to be alternatives for the older people on the town and we should not be giving up.</p> <p>JS also felt that the fight should continue, but that we have to accept that we must look forward in the knowledge that the Invercarron Centre is not going to be usable in current climate and find solutions to its' loss</p> <p><b>DL proposed the setting up of a meeting to invite all user groups, stakeholders and public to discuss future daycare arrangement for the town and its' residents</b>  <b>BW seconded</b></p>	DL/IH/BW
8.0	<b>Approval of last minute</b>	
	<b>Minutes proposed for approval by BW, seconded by AL</b>	
9.0	<b>Matter arising from last minute</b>	
9.1	<b>Dunnottar Park</b> – no news, ongoing	Cllr A
9.2	<b>Cycle repair</b> – no news, ongoing	DB
9.3	<b>Sale of Council Offices</b> – no further update, ongoing	Cllr A/IH
9.4	<b>Mackie Academy Campus</b> – Cllr D gave an update but was not aware of any further development meetings with parent Councils - ongoing <b>A timetable for consultation will be issued soon.</b>	Cllr D
9.5	<b>Resilience Planning</b> - ongoing 9.5 <b>A meeting will be arranged soon.</b>	DB
9.6	<b>Hybrid Meetings</b> – ongoing	ALL
9.7	<b>Hannah Dyson Award</b> – all in hand but deferred as pupils all up to eyes with exams and proposal to push deadline for response into middle of May	AL
10.0	<b>Planning report - KS</b>	KS

	<p>KS advised of the withdrawal of planning permission for two new self-service carwash bays at the Mill Inn site. There had been objections re. Noise and ability to be used 24/7 – NFA</p> <p>KS went onto seek comments on the proposed filling station at Ury estate in conjunction with Morrison supermarket. He advised that in the main the public seemed to support the application, particular in relation to having competition and fairer pricing. There was only one letter of concern about traffic management around the Slug Road.</p> <p><b>PC proposed that SDCC request further information in relation to the provision of electric charging points at the site and to ask about what the planned timescales are for the petrol station as we move to electric</b>  <b>Seconded by XX</b></p> <p>KS stated that there was now a new application for planning permission in principle for the previously discussed land at Riverside Drive for construction of a new dwelling house and associated land being given over to community groups. It was agreed to take this to a future meeting at which there would be chance to invite interested parties. KS advised that as the last date for comment is 05.05.22 he would seek an extension for planning for the SDCC response</p> <p>KS advised that he had attended a webinar in relation to changes to Airspace for planes approaching and landing at ABZ. He explained that the proposed changes will only affect 1-5 % of all fixed wing arrivals and would not be noticeable from the ground. For planes arriving from South most will take an over sea approach into the airport, so Stonehaven not expected to be affected. Full consultation will come later, but this was just their obligation to engage at this time in stage 2 of their proposals</p>	<p>KS</p> <p>KS</p>
<b>11.0</b>	<b>Treasurer report - DL</b>	
	DL gave his regular update on financial matters,PC asked about defibrillators <b>DL explained the difference between the Defibrillators Fund (maintenance) and the Long Term Defibrillators Fund (replacement).</b>	
<b>12.0</b>	<b>Correspondence – AL/DB/PC</b>	
	AL and DB reported no correspondence.	
<b>13.0</b>	<b>AOCB</b>	

	<p>PC updated all about the pros and cons of the first hybrid meeting. He stated that he felt it was better, but sound quality was still not good. He also felt that it was not equitable as those online could not see those in the Courthouse. There was agreement that the desire is to get everyone back to face to face but accepts that not all are comfortable with this.</p> <p>JS updated the CC re. donations to de-fib of £1000 from round table and £500 from McHardy's butcher – note of thanks required. JS wanted to make clear that the de-fibs were not just an SDCC matter and the whole community take responsibility for it.</p> <p>Flood Defence Work:<b>PC volunteered to follow up with the residents.</b></p> <p>AL raised, at the request of DB, who has had conversations with residents on Cameron Street where flood defence work is still ongoing. Following update from McLaughlin &amp; Harvey last week they are very disillusioned about both the progress of the works and the, reported, variation in the wall height they will now have as opposed to what they were told at the beginning of the work. They have had disruption since 2019 and will have to put up with at least another year to 18 months of works.</p>	<p>DL/AL</p> <p><b>PC</b></p>
<p><b>14.0</b></p>	<p><b>Next Meeting – Agenda Meeting 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2022</b>  <b>Business meeting 10<sup>th</sup> May 2022</b></p>	